



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/974,050	10/11/2001	Shigeki Kishiro	2185-0580P	7651
2292	7590 01/22/2004		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STE PO BOX 747	EWART KOLASCH &	SZEKELY, PETER A		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	

DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action O	09/974,050	KISHIRO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, its less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	<u>December 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal m Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) △ Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) △ Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) objected e drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawi	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureath See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language put 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 15 cm.	nts have been received. Ints have been received in ority documents have been used. Into the certified copies of the certified copies of the speciest sentence of the species of the specie	Application No en received in this National Stage of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) fication or in an Application Data Sheet. been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 5 and 6are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inui et al. 5,889,095, in view of Bothe et al. 4,975,315 or Chatterjee 5,922,471.
- 3. All references have been described in the previous Office action. The rejection is maintained.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 12/16/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Inui et al. disclose in column 1, lines 25-40, that the phosphite stabilizer described in the paragraph overlapping columns 1 and 2 of the patent is a better stabilizer than for example distearyl pentaerythritol diphosphite, tris(2,4-di-t-butylphenyl)phosphite, bis(2,4-di-t-butylphenyl)pentaerythritol diphosphite or bis(2,6-di-t-butyl-4-methylphenyl)pentaerythritol diphosphite. Since Bothe et al. use tris-(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl) phosphite, (column 4, line 11), and Chatterjee uses bis(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)pentaerythritoldiphosphite, tetrakis(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)-4-4'-biphenylylene diphosphonite or tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite, (column 3, lines 5-9), the substitution by the phosphite of Inui et al. into the compositions of Bothe et al. or Chatterjee would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made. The motivation in the prior art to combine references need not be identical to that of the applicants to establish obviousness. In re Kemps, 97 F.3d

Application/Control Number: 09/974,050

Art Unit: 1714

1427,1430, 40 USPQ2d 1309,1311 (Fed. Cir. 1996). Moreover, it is not necessary for the prior art reference to disclose the same property or utility as the claimed product to establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. paragraph 103. In re Dillon, 919 F.2d 688,693, 16 USPQ2d 1897, 1901 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (En banc).

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/974,050

Art Unit: 1714

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 1/14/04